

# Uniqueness and Clustering Properties of Gibbs States for Classical and Quantum Unbounded Spin Systems

Yong Moon Park<sup>1</sup> and Hyun Jae Yoo<sup>2</sup>

*Received September 29, 1994*

---

We consider quantum unbounded spin systems (lattice boson systems) in  $\nu$ -dimensional lattice space  $Z^\nu$ . Under appropriate conditions on the interactions we prove that in a region of high temperatures the Gibbs state is unique, is translationally invariant, and has clustering properties. The main methods we use are the Wiener integral representation, the cluster expansions for zero boundary conditions and for general Gibbs state, and explicitly  $\beta$ -dependent probability estimates. For one-dimensional systems we show the uniqueness of Gibbs states for any value of temperature by using the method of perturbed states. We also consider classical unbounded spin systems. We derive necessary estimates so that all of the results for the quantum systems hold for the classical systems by straightforward applications of the methods used in the quantum case.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Quantum unbounded spin systems; Wiener integral; Gibbs states; cluster expansion; clustering property; probability estimates.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We continue our study of quantum unbounded spin systems (lattice boson systems) initiated in ref. 26. The model we consider can be viewed as a model for the quantum crystals<sup>(5)</sup> and is closely related to lattice field theory with continuous time.<sup>(1)</sup> In ref. 26 we gave a characterization of the Gibbs states in terms of conditional reduced density matrices and investigated the structure of the space of Gibbs states such as existence,

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea. E-mail: yoohj@phya.yonsei.ac.kr.

convexity, and compactness of the space (see Section 2.1). In order to understand the phase transition phenomena, it may be necessary to show the uniqueness of the Gibbs states in a region of high temperatures, and then the nonuniqueness of the states at low temperatures.<sup>(3,11,27)</sup> In this paper we prove the uniqueness and the clustering properties of the Gibbs states in a region of high temperatures under appropriate conditions on interactions (two-body interactions). In the case of one-dimensional systems the uniqueness holds for any value of temperature. See Section 2.2 for the details. The methods for the quantum systems can be applied straightforwardly to the classical systems to show that all of the results for the quantum systems also hold for the classical systems. We plan to investigate the detailed structure of the phase diagram in the near future.

The main methods we use are the Wiener integral representation<sup>(13,33)</sup> and cluster expansions for zero boundary conditions and for general Gibbs states.<sup>(23)</sup> For classical systems a cluster expansion has been developed in terms of polymer systems<sup>(17)</sup> and the analyticities and the clustering properties of correlation functions have been established. For quantum systems one of us gave a sketch of a cluster expansion for zero boundary conditions.<sup>(25)</sup> However, the uniqueness of Gibbs states for classical as well as quantum systems remains open. See Conjecture 4.1 of ref. 26. In this paper we develop a cluster expansion method for quantum systems by using the Wiener integral representation and modifying the cluster expansion method developed in refs. 23 and 25 to show the uniqueness of Gibbs states in a region of high temperatures. In ref. 22 one-dimensional systems are studied by means of a cluster expansion of polymer types and an infinite-volume limiting Gibbs state which is translationally invariant and ergodic is constructed. By using a method of perturbed states<sup>(2,15)</sup> we prove that the state constructed in ref. 22 is the unique Gibbs state for one-dimensional systems.

Let us describe the main methods in this paper briefly. As in ref. 26, we use the Wiener integral representation.<sup>(13,33)</sup> For  $\beta > 0$ , let  $S^\beta$  be the space of continuous loops from  $[0, \beta]$  to  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , i.e.,  $S^\beta = \{s: [0, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d: s(0) = s(\beta)\}$ . We give an *a priori* measure  $\lambda_\beta$  by  $\lambda_\beta(ds) = dx P^\beta(d\omega)$ ,  $s = x + \omega$ , where  $dx$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and  $P^\beta(d\omega)$  is the conditional Wiener measure.<sup>(33)</sup> For a finite set  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$  we use the following notation:

$$x_A = \{x_i : i \in A\}, \quad dx_A = \prod_{i \in A} dx_i \tag{1.1}$$

$$s_A = \{s_i : s_i \in S^\beta, i \in A\}, \quad \lambda_\beta(ds_A) = \prod_{i \in A} \lambda_\beta(ds_i)$$

and  $\Omega_A^\beta = (S^\beta)^A$ . Put  $\Omega^\beta = (S^\beta)^{\mathbf{Z}^v}$ . We consider the following type of interaction (two-body interactions):

$$V(x_A) = \sum_{i \in A} P(x_i) + \sum_{\{i, j\} \subset A} U(x_i, x_j; |i - j|) \tag{1.2}$$

where  $P$  and  $U$  are the self-interaction and the two-body interaction, respectively, which satisfy specific conditions (Assumption 2.2.1). Put

$$W(x_A, x_{A^c}) = \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i \in A, j \in A^c}} U(x_i, x_j; |i - j|) \tag{1.3}$$

We write

$$V_\beta(s_A) = \int_0^\beta V(s_A(\tau)) d\tau \tag{1.4}$$

and  $W_\beta(s_A, s_{A^c})$  analogously. For  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$  we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_A$  the local  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega^\beta$  and put  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^v}$ . See Section 2 for the details.

For finite  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$ , let  $\nu_{A, \beta}^{(0)}$  be the local Gibbs measure on  $\Omega_A^\beta$  with zero boundary conditions and let  $\nu_\beta$  be a Gibbs measure on  $\Omega^\beta$ . See Section 2.1 for the definitions. In Section 3 we develop the cluster expansions of the following types: For any  $\Delta \subset A \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$  and any  $\mathcal{F}_\Delta$ -measurable bounded function  $f$

$$\nu_{A, \beta}^{(0)}(f) = \sum_{\phi \subseteq X \subset A} K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) g_{A, \beta}(\Delta \cup X) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\nu_\beta(f) = \sum_{\phi \subseteq X \subset A} K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) \tilde{g}_\beta(\Delta \cup X) + R_{A, \beta}(f) \tag{1.6}$$

We then show that

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^v} R_{A, \beta}(f) = 0$$

By using the method of Kirkwood–Salsburg type integral equations<sup>(30)</sup> we prove that for any  $X \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^v} g_{A, \beta}(X) = \tilde{g}_\beta(X)$$

Thus we conclude that for any Gibbs state  $\nu_\beta$

$$\nu_\beta(f) = \lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} \nu_{A,\beta}^{(0)}(f)$$

and so the uniqueness of Gibbs measures follows from the above. This result and the definition of Gibbs states (Definition 2.1.4) imply the uniqueness of Gibbs states. The cluster properties of the unique Gibbs state follow from a consequence of the convergence of the cluster expansion (see Section 6.3).

For one-dimensional systems we use the method of perturbed states.<sup>(2,15,29)</sup> Let  $\nu_\beta$  be a fixed extremal Gibbs measure which is translationally invariant. For any interval  $A = [-n, n]$  it turns out that  $\exp[W_\beta(s_A, s_{A^c})]$  is an element in  $L^2(\Omega^\beta, d\nu_\beta)$ . By the equilibrium conditions we have that for any  $\mathcal{F}_A$ -measurable bounded function  $f$

$$\nu_{A,\beta}^{(0)}(f) = \int \nu_\beta(ds) f(s) \exp[W_\beta(s_A, s_{A^c})] / \tilde{N}_{A,\beta}$$

where  $\tilde{N}_{A,\beta}$  is the normalization factor. By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the extremality of  $\nu_\beta$  we show that for any  $\beta > 0$

$$\nu_\beta(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n,\beta}^{(0)}(f)$$

where  $A_n = [-n, n]$ . This implies the uniqueness. See Section 7 for the details.

In order to obtain the results in Section 2.2 for classical systems, one only needs to replace

$$S^\beta, \lambda_\beta(ds), \Omega^\beta, V_\beta, W_\beta$$

by

$$\mathbf{R}^d, dx, \Omega = (\mathbf{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^v}, \beta V, \beta W$$

respectively. Then straightforward applications of the methods used for quantum systems give the results for classical systems. We supply the necessary estimates for classical systems in Section 4.2.

We organize the paper as follows: In Section 2.1 we introduce notations, definitions, and necessary preliminaries. In Section 2.2 we give basic assumptions on interactions and then list the main results in this paper. We develop a cluster expansion for zero boundary conditions in Section 3.1 and then derive a cluster expansion for general Gibbs measures in Section 3.2 by using the equilibrium conditions. Section 4 is devoted to

*a priori* estimates. We also produce basic estimates for classical systems in Section 4.2. In Section 5 we prove the convergence of the cluster expansion for zero boundary conditions by using the basic estimates. In Section 6 we prove uniqueness and clustering properties in a region of high temperatures. The main tool is the method of Kirkwood–Salsburg type integral equations.<sup>(30)</sup> The proof of the uniqueness of Gibbs states for one-dimensional systems for any values of  $\beta > 0$  is given in Section 7. In the Appendix we produce the proofs of explicitly  $\beta$ -dependent probability estimates (Propositions 4.1.3 and 4.2.3).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

### 2.1. Preliminaries

We consider quantum unbounded spin systems (lattice boson systems) on the  $\nu$ -dimensional lattice space  $\mathbf{Z}^\nu$ . We collect notations, definitions, and some results from ref. 26 which will be used in the sequel. By  $\mathcal{C}$  we mean the class of finite subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}^\nu$ . At each site  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^\nu$  we associate an identical copy of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, dx)$ , where  $dx$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . For  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_\nu) \in \mathbf{Z}^\nu$  we write

$$|x| = \left[ \sum_{l=1}^d (x^l)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad |i| = \max_{1 \leq l \leq \nu} |i_l| \tag{2.1}$$

For any bounded region  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^\nu$  we write

$$x_A = \{x_i : i \in A\}, \quad dx_A = \prod_{i \in A} dx_i \tag{2.2}$$

The (local) Hilbert space for lattice boson systems in  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_A &= \otimes_{i \in A} L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, dx_i) \\ &= L^2((\mathbf{R}^d)^A, dx_A) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and a (local) Hamiltonian operator on  $\mathfrak{H}_A$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_A &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A} \Delta_i + V(x_A) \\ V(x_A) &\equiv \sum_{A \subset A} \Phi_A(x_A) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\Delta_i$  is the Laplacian operator for the variable  $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and for each  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$ , and  $\Phi_\Delta$  is the interaction potential, which is a measurable real-valued function on  $(\mathbf{R}^d)^\Delta$ .

As in ref. 26, we impose the following conditions on the potential  $\Phi$ :

**Assumption 2.1.1.** The potential  $\Phi = (\Phi_\Delta)_{\Delta \subset \mathbf{Z}^v}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $\Phi_\Delta$  is a Borel measurable function on  $(\mathbf{R}^d)^\Delta$ .
- (b)  $\Phi_\Delta$  is invariant under translations on  $\mathbf{Z}^v$ .
- (c) (Superstability) There are  $A > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$V(x_A) = \sum_{\Delta \subset A} \Phi_\Delta(x_\Delta) \geq \sum_{i \in A} (Ax_i^2 - c)$$

(d) (Strong regularity) There exists a decreasing positive function  $\Psi$  on the natural integers such that

$$\Psi(r) \leq Kr^{-v-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for some } K \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ with } \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^v} \Psi(|i|) < A$$

Furthermore if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are disjoint finite subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}^v$  and if one writes

$$V(x_{A_1 \cup A_2}) = V(x_{A_1}) + V(x_{A_2}) + W(x_{A_1}, x_{A_2})$$

then the bound

$$|W(x_{A_1}, x_{A_2})| \leq \sum_{i \in A_1} \sum_{j \in A_2} \Psi(|i - j|) \frac{1}{2}(x_i^2 + x_j^2)$$

holds.

For a bounded domain  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of local observables is defined by

$$\mathfrak{A}_A = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}_A) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{H}_A)$  is the algebra of all bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{H}_A$ . If  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_{A_1 \cup A_2} = \mathfrak{A}_{A_1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{A_2}$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}_{A_1}$  is isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A_2}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{A_2}$  denotes the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{H}_{A_2}$ . In this way we identify  $\mathfrak{A}_A$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_{A'}$  if  $A \subset A'$ . The quasilocal algebra of local observables is given by

$$\mathfrak{A} = \left( \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{A}_A \right)^- \tag{2.6}$$

where the bar means the completion with respect to the uniform norm. Notice that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

We next describe the Wiener integral formalism of lattice boson systems.<sup>(4,13,26,33)</sup> For  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $\beta > 0$  let us denote by  $W_{x,y}^\beta$  the set of continuous paths  $\omega: [0, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  with  $\omega(0) = x, \omega(\beta) = y$ . The set  $W_{x,y}^\beta$  is endowed with the standard Borel space structure. For  $\beta > 0$  denote by  $P_{x,y}^\beta$  the conditional Wiener measure on  $W_{x,y}$ ,<sup>(33)</sup>

$$P_{x,y}^\beta(W_{x,y}) = (2\pi\beta)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} |x - y|^2\right)$$

For finite  $A \in \mathbf{Z}^v, x_A, y_A \in (\mathbf{R}^d)$ , and  $\beta > 0$ , we use the notation

$$W_{x_A, y_A}^\beta = \times_{i \in A} W_{x_i, y_i}^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad P_{x_A, y_A}^\beta = \times_{i \in A} P_{x_i, y_i}^\beta$$

We identify the space  $W_{x,x}^\beta, x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , with a single space  $W^\beta = W_{0,0}^\beta$  by means of the mapping  $\omega \leftrightarrow \omega + x$ . The measures  $P_{x,x}^\beta$  and  $P^\beta = P_{0,0}^\beta$  are transformed thereby into each other. Furthermore, we use the map  $W_{x,y}^\beta \leftrightarrow W_{0,0}^\beta$  given by  $\omega \leftrightarrow \omega + L_{x,y}^\beta$  where  $L_{x,y}^\beta$  is the linear function  $L_{x,y}^\beta(t) = x + \beta^{-1}t(y - x)$ . The measure  $P_{x,y}^\beta$  is transformed thereby into  $\exp[-(1/2\beta) |x - y|^2] P^\beta$ . The product space  $W_{x_A, y_A}^\beta$  is transformed into  $(W_{0,0}^\beta)^A$  analogously in which the function  $L_{x_A, y_A}^\beta(t) = x_A + \beta^{-1}t(y_A - x_A)$  is used. We shall use the notation

$$S^\beta = \mathbf{R}^d \times W^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad s = (x, \omega) \in S^\beta \tag{2.7}$$

as well as

$$\hat{S}^\beta = \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \times W^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{s} = (x, y, \omega) \in \hat{S}^\beta \tag{2.8}$$

where  $s(t) = x + \omega(t)$  and  $\hat{s}(t) = \omega(t) + L_{x,y}^\beta(t)$ , respectively. The set  $S^\beta$  has a  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the products of Borel sets in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and cylinder sets in  $W^\beta = W_{0,0}^\beta$ .<sup>(33)</sup> We give an *a priori* measure  $\lambda_\beta$  on  $S^\beta$  by

$$\lambda_\beta(ds) \equiv dx P^\beta(d\omega), \quad s = (x, \omega) \in S^\beta \tag{2.9}$$

$$\lambda_\beta(ds_A) = \prod_{i \in A} \lambda_\beta(ds_i)$$

We use the notations

$$\Omega^\beta = (S^\beta)^{\mathbf{Z}^v} = (\mathbf{R}^d \times W^\beta)^{\mathbf{Z}^v} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\hat{\Omega}^\beta = (\hat{S}^\beta)^{\mathbb{Z}^v} = (\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \times W^\beta)^{\mathbb{Z}^v} \tag{2.11}$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^v$ , let  $P_i: \Omega^\beta \rightarrow S^\beta$  be the projection  $P_i(s) = s_i$ , the value (path) on the  $i$ th site. For each  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$ , we have a local  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_A$  which is the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra for which  $P_i, i \in A$ , are measurable. We simply write  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}^v}$ . We write  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  for the family of probability measures on  $\Omega^\beta$ .

We write that for  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  and  $s \in \Omega^\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{A,\beta}(s_A) &= \int_0^\beta \Phi_A(s_A(t)) dt \\ V_\beta(s_A) &= \sum_{A \subset A} \Phi_A(s_A) \\ W_\beta(s_A, s_{A^c}) &= \sum_{A \cap A^c \neq \emptyset, A \cap A^c \neq \emptyset} \Phi_A(s_A) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= \int_0^\beta s_i^2(t) dt, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}^v \\ \mathfrak{S}_N &= \left\{ s \in \Omega : \forall l, \sum_{|i| \leq l} s_i^2 \leq N^2(2l+1)^v \right\} \\ \mathfrak{S} &= \bigcup_{N \in \mathbf{N}} \mathfrak{S}_N \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We say that a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  is *tempered* if it has its support on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .<sup>(31)</sup> A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be *regular* if there exist  $\bar{A} > 0$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  so that the projection  $\mu(ds_A)$  of  $\mu$  on any  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_A)$  satisfies

$$g(s_A | \mu) \leq \exp \left[ - \sum_{i \in A} (\bar{A}s_i^2 - \bar{\delta}) \right] \tag{2.14}$$

where  $g(s_A | \mu)$  is such that  $\mu(ds_A) = g(s_A | \mu) \lambda_\beta(ds_A)$ . It is easy to check that any regular measure is tempered.<sup>(31)</sup>

Before listing the main results in ref. 26, it may be worthwhile to give a brief discussion on the main idea used to characterize the Gibbs states.<sup>(26)</sup> For  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $H_A$  be the local Hamiltonian given by (2.4). By the Feynman-Kac formula the operator  $\exp(-\beta H_A)$  has its integral kernel<sup>(26,33)</sup>

$$e^{(-\beta H_A)}(x_A, y_A) = \int P_{x_A, y_A}(ds_A) \exp[-V_\beta(s_A)]$$



and so the finite-volume partition function for the local Hamiltonian  $H_A$  and the inverse temperature  $\beta$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{A,\beta}^\Phi &\equiv \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{S}_A}[\exp(-\beta H_A)] \\ &= \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \exp[-V_\beta(s_A)] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the local Gibbs states can be expressed by integrations on the path space  $(\mathfrak{S}^\beta)^A$ . Employing standard methods in classical statistical mechanics,<sup>(12,19,28)</sup> we were able to introduce a family of conditional measures (specifications) and Gibbs measures on  $\Omega^\beta$ . See (2.16) and Definition 2.1.2 stated below. The Gibbs states have been defined by the conditional reduced density matrices (2.18) and Gibbs measures. For the details we refer the reader to ref. 26. See also the discussion below.

Finally we collect definitions and basic results from ref. 26. The partition function in  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  for the interaction  $\Phi$  with boundary condition  $\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{S}$  is given by

$$Z_{A,\beta}^\Phi(\bar{s}) = \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \exp[-V_\beta(s_A) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{A^c})] \tag{2.15}$$

Notice that  $Z_{A,\beta}^\Phi$  corresponds to the partition function with the zero boundary condition, i.e.,  $Z_{A,\beta}^\Phi = Z_{A,\beta}^\Phi(0)$ . The Gibbs specification  $\gamma^\Phi = (\gamma_A^\Phi)_{A \in \mathcal{C}}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}$  is defined by<sup>(12,26,28)</sup>

$$\gamma_A^\Phi(A | \bar{s}) = \begin{cases} Z_A^\Phi(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_A(ds_A) \exp[-V_\beta(s_A) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{A^c})] \\ \quad \times 1_A(s_A, \bar{s}_{A^c}) & \text{if } \bar{s} \in \mathfrak{S} \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{s} \notin \mathfrak{S} \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

where  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $1_A$  is the indicator function on  $A$ , and  $s_A \bar{s}_{A^c}$  is the configuration defined by  $s_A$  on  $A$  and  $\bar{s}_{A^c}$  on  $A^c$ , respectively. It can be checked that the Gibbs specification satisfies the consistency condition<sup>(12)</sup>: For  $A \subset A'$ ,  $\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A^\Phi \gamma_{A'}^\Phi(A | \bar{s}) &\equiv \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \gamma_A^\Phi(ds^* | \bar{s}) \gamma_{A'}^\Phi(A | s^*) \\ &= \gamma_A^\Phi(A | \bar{s}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

The Gibbs measure on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  is defined as follows<sup>(12,26)</sup>:

**Definition 2.1.2.** A Gibbs measure  $\mu$  for the potential  $\Phi$  is a Borel probability measure on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  satisfying the equilibrium condition

$$\mu(A) = \int \mu(d\bar{s}) \gamma_A^\Phi(A | \bar{s}), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  the family of all Gibbs measures on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  for the interaction potential  $\Phi$ .

We then have the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.3** (Ref. 26, Theorem 2.7). Under Assumption 2.1.1 any Gibbs measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  is regular. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  is non-empty, convex, compact in the local convergence topology, and a Choquet simplex.

Let us now consider Gibbs (equilibrium) states on the quasilocal algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and a configuration  $\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , we define a function  $k_A(x_A, y_A; \bar{s})$ ,  $x_A, y_A \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^A$ , which takes the role of the conditional reduced density matrix<sup>(4,7-9)</sup>:

$$k_A(x_A, y_A; \bar{s}) = Z_{A,\beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int P_{x_A, y_A}^\beta(ds_A) \exp[-V_\beta(s_A) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{A^c})] \tag{2.18}$$

With the help of these functions and the Gibbs measures we define the Gibbs (equilibrium) states as follows.<sup>(26)</sup>

**Definition 2.1.4.** A state  $\rho$  on the quasilocal algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a Gibbs state if there exists a Gibbs measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  such that the restriction  $\rho_A$  of  $\rho$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_A$  is given by

$$\rho_A(A) = \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{S}_A}(K_A^{(\nu)}A), \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}_A$$

where the density matrix  $K_A^{(\nu)}$  is defined by its integral kernel

$$K_A^{(\nu)}(x_A, y_A) = \int \nu(d\bar{s}) k_A(x_A, y_A; \bar{s})$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_\beta^\Phi(\mathfrak{A})$  the family of Gibbs states for  $\Phi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  at inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.5** (Ref. 26, Theorem 2.9). Under Assumption 2.1.1,  $\mathcal{G}_\beta^\Phi(\mathfrak{A})$  is nonempty, convex, and also weak\*-compact if the interaction is of finite range.

**2.2. Main Results**

As stated in the Introduction, the purpose of this paper is to prove the uniqueness and the cluster properties of Gibbs states in a region of high temperature (sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$ ). In order to avoid unnecessary complications, we make the following assumptions on the interaction potentials.

**Assumption 2.2.1.** The potential  $\Phi = (\Phi_A)_{A \subset \mathbb{Z}^v}$  satisfies the following conditions:

(a) There exist Borel functions  $P$  and  $U$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\{i\}}(x_i) &= P(x_i), & \Phi_{\{i,j\}}(x_i, x_j) &= U(x_i, x_j; |i-j|) \\ \Phi_A(x_A) &= 0 & \text{if } |A| > 2 \end{aligned}$$

(b) There exist  $\gamma > 2$ ,  $D_1 > 0$ ,  $D_2 > 0$ , and  $D_3 > 0$  such that the inequalities

$$D_1(|x|^\gamma - D_2) \leq P(x) \leq D_3(|x|^\gamma + 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

hold.

(c) There exists a decreasing positive function  $\Psi$  on the natural numbers such that

$$\Psi(r) \leq Kr^{-\nu-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for some } K \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0$$

Furthermore, the bound

$$|U(|x_i - x_j|)| \leq \Psi(|i - j|) |x_i| \cdot |x_j|$$

holds. Here (and hereafter) we have used the notation  $U(|x_i - x_j|) \equiv U(x_i, x_j; |i - j|)$ .

*Remark.* Assumption 2.2.1(c) implies that for any  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  the bound

$$\left| \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset A} U(|x_i - x_j|) \right| \leq J \sum_{i \in A} |x_i|^2 \tag{2.19}$$

holds for some  $J > 0$ . Thus from Assumption 2.2.1(a)–(b) and the above bound one has that

$$V(x_A) \geq \sum_{i \in A} [A' |x|^\gamma - \delta'] \tag{2.20}$$

for some positive constants  $A' > 0$  and  $\delta' > 0$ , and so all conditions in Assumption 2.1.1 are satisfied.

The following are the main results in this paper:

**Theorem 2.2.2** (Uniqueness of Gibbs states). Under Assumption 2.2.1 there exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\beta$  with  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  the Gibbs measure on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$  is unique, i.e.,  $\text{card}(\mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)) = 1$ . Consequently the Gibbs state on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is unique.

**Theorem 2.2.3** (Cluster property). Under Assumption 2.2.1, let  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_\beta^\Phi(\mathfrak{A})$  be the unique Gibbs state for  $\beta < \beta_0$ . Then for any  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda, B \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda'}$

$$|\rho(AB) - \rho(A)\rho(B)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \text{dist}(\Lambda, \Lambda') \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\text{dist}(\Lambda, \Lambda') = \inf\{|i - j| : i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda'\}$ .

We next consider the quantum unbounded spin systems in the one-dimensional lattice space  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the function on  $\mathbf{N}$  given in Assumption 2.2.1(c).

**Theorem 2.2.4.** Assume that  $[\Psi(r)]^{1/2} \leq Kr^{-1-\varepsilon}$  for some constants  $K > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for any  $\beta > 0$  there exists a unique translationally invariant Gibbs state.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.2–2.2.4.

### 3. CLUSTER EXPANSIONS

#### 3.1. Cluster Expansion for Zero Boundary Conditions

We develop a cluster expansion for zero boundary conditions in this subsection. A cluster expansion for general Gibbs states will be developed in the following subsection. The methods we use are closely related to those in refs. 23 and 25. Using the notation  $U(|x_i - x_j|) \equiv U(x_i, x_j; |i - j|)$  again, we write that for  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta(s_i) &= \int_0^\beta P(s_i(\tau)) \, d\tau, & P_\beta(s_\Lambda) &= \sum_{i \in \Lambda} P_\beta(s_i) \\ U_\beta(|s_i - s_j|) &= \int_0^\beta U(|s_i(\tau) - s_j(\tau)|) \, d\tau, \\ U_\beta(s_\Lambda) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset \Lambda} U_\beta(|s_i - s_j|) \\ V_\beta(s_\Lambda) &= P_\beta(s_\Lambda) + U_\beta(s_\Lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  be the family of bounded functions depending only on the configurations  $s_\Lambda \in (S^\beta)^\Lambda$ . The local Gibbs measure on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  with zero boundary conditions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(f) &= \int f(s_\Lambda) \nu_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(ds_\Lambda) \\ &\equiv \mathbf{Z}_{\Lambda, \beta}^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Lambda) \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Lambda)] f(s_\Lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\Lambda, \beta} = \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Lambda) \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Lambda)]$$

For  $\Lambda \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f \in (\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  the above can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(f) &= \mathbf{Z}_{\Lambda, \beta}^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Lambda) f(s_\Lambda) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Lambda) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda} P_\beta(s_i) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ - \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) - \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Denote by  $b = \{i, j\}$  any two-point set in  $\mathbf{Z}^\nu$ , which is called a *bond* in  $\mathbf{Z}^\nu$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  be the family of bonds in  $\Lambda$ :

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda) = \{b : b = \{i, j\} \subset \Lambda\} \tag{3.4}$$

For given  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  we write

$$U_\beta(s_b) = U_\beta(|s_i - s_j|), \quad b = \{i, j\} \tag{3.5}$$

$$h_\beta(s_b) = \exp[-U_\beta(s_b)] - 1 \tag{3.6}$$

Using the above notation, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp \left[ - \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda}} U_\beta(|s_i - s_j|) - \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda}} U_\beta(|s_i - s_j|) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ - \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(\Lambda): \\ b \notin \mathcal{B}(\Lambda)}} U_\beta(s_b) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For given finite family  $\mathcal{B}$  of bonds (a family of two-point sets) one has the following *decoupling identities*:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left[ - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} U_{\beta}(s_b) \right] &= \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} [h_{\beta}(s_b) + 1] \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}} \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} h_{\beta}(s_b) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the term corresponding to  $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$  is defined to be 1. For  $X \subset \mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$  and  $i \notin X$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \in X} U_{\beta}(|s_i - s_j|) \right] &= \exp \left[ - \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(X \cup \{i\}): \\ i \in b}} U_{\beta}(s_b) \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(X \cup \{i\}): \\ b \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow i \in b}} \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} h_{\beta}(s_b) \end{aligned}$$

For  $b = \{i, j\}$  we write  $h_{\beta}(s_b) = h_{\beta}(s_i, s_j)$ . Then from the above one has the following *recoupling identities*:

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq Y \subset X} \prod_{j \in Y: j \neq i} h_{\beta}(s_i, s_j) = \exp \left[ - \sum_{j \in X} U_{\beta}(|s_i - s_j|) \right] - 1 \tag{3.9}$$

Using the decoupling identities (3.8), we obtain that

$$\exp \left[ - \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(A): \\ b \notin \mathcal{B}(A)}} U_{\beta}(s_b) \right] = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}(A): \\ b \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow b \notin \mathcal{B}(A)}} \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} h_{\beta}(s_b) \tag{3.10}$$

A family  $\mathcal{B} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  of subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$  is said to be *connected* if for any  $X_i, X_j \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists  $\{X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_l}\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $X_{i_j} \cap X_{i_{j+1}} \neq \emptyset$  ( $j = 1, \dots, l - 1$ ), and  $X_i \cap X_{i_1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_j \cap X_{i_l} \neq \emptyset$ . In the expression (3.10) we decompose  $\mathcal{B}$  into the disjoint union of connected families (of bonds):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_m, \\ \mathcal{B}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_j &= \emptyset \quad (i \neq j) \quad \text{and each } \mathcal{B}_i \text{ is connected} \end{aligned}$$

For given  $\Delta \subset A$  there exist  $l (\geq 0)$  components which we may assume  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_i \cup \{\Delta\}$  is connected for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . For the sake of brevity we employ the following terminology: For  $\Delta \subset A$  and families  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  of bonds we say that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“}P(\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}; \Delta, A) \text{ holds”} &\text{ if } \mathcal{B}_i \subset \mathcal{B}(A) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l), \mathcal{B}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_j = \emptyset \quad (i = j), \\ &\mathcal{B}_i \cup \{\Delta\} \text{ is connected} \quad (i = 1, \dots, l), \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B}_i \Rightarrow b \notin \mathcal{B}(A) \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}$  be the maximal family for which  $P(\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}; \Delta, A)$  holds. Resumming over  $\mathcal{B}' = \bigcup_{j=l+1}^m \mathcal{B}_j$ , and using (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[ - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(A): b \notin \mathcal{B}(\Delta)} U_\beta(s_b) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}: \\ P(\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}; \Delta, A) \text{ holds}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}(A \setminus \Delta): \\ \mathcal{B}' \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{B}_i) = \emptyset}} \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}' \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{B}_i)} h_\beta(s_b) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}: \\ P(\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}; \Delta, A) \text{ holds}}} \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}_i} h_\beta(s_b) \right) \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[ - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(A \setminus \Delta \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{B}_i))} U_\beta(s_b) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Again, for the sake of typographic convenience we use the following terminology: for a given family  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  of bonds and subsets  $\Delta, X \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  we say that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{“}P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \Delta, X) \text{ holds” if } \bigcup_{i=1}^n b_i = X, b_i \notin \mathcal{B}(\Delta) \ (i=1, \dots, n), \\ & \text{and if } \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \{\Delta\} \text{ is connected} \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$\sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}: \\ P(\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}; \Delta, A) \text{ holds}}} \dots = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq X \subset A: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset \ (X \neq \emptyset) \\ X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset \ (X \neq \emptyset)}} \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(X): \\ P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \Delta, X) \text{ holds}}} \dots \tag{3.14}$$

For given  $\Delta, X \in \mathcal{C}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X}) &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(X): \\ P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \Delta, X) \text{ holds}}} \prod_{i=1}^n h_\beta(s_{b_i}) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - \sum_{i \in X \setminus \Delta} P_\beta(s_i) \right] \\ & \quad \text{for } X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset \end{aligned} \tag{3.15a}$$

$$\hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; s_\Delta) = \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Delta)] \quad \text{for } X \setminus \Delta = \emptyset \tag{3.15b}$$

and for  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$  define

$$\hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; f) = \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Delta \cup X}) f(s_\Delta) \hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X}) \tag{3.16}$$

We now use (3.3), (3.7), (3.12), and (3.14)–(3.16) (in that order) to obtain

$$v_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(f) = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq X \subset \Lambda: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset) \\ X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)}} \hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; f) \left[ \frac{Z_{\Delta \setminus X \cup \Delta, \beta}}{Z_{\Lambda, \beta}} \right] \tag{3.17}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta^{(0)} &\equiv \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s)] \\ &= \text{Tr}(\exp[-\beta(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + P)]) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

For  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}^\nu$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$ , put

$$K_\beta(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X}) \equiv (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|\Delta \cup X|} \hat{K}(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X}) \tag{3.19a}$$

$$K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) \equiv \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Delta \cup X}) f(s_\Delta) K_\beta(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X}) \tag{3.19b}$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{\Lambda, \beta} \equiv (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|\Lambda|} Z_{\Lambda, \beta} \tag{3.19c}$$

$$g_{\Lambda, \beta}(X) \equiv \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\Delta \setminus X, \beta}}{\tilde{Z}_{\Lambda, \beta}} \tag{3.19d}$$

Then (3.17) and (3.19) imply that for  $\Delta \subset X \subset \Lambda$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$

$$v_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(f) = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq X \subset \Lambda: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset) \\ X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)}} K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) g_{\Lambda, \beta}(\Delta \cup X) \tag{3.20}$$

The above is the *cluster expansion for zero boundary conditions*.

*Remark.* Contrary to the cluster expansions in refs. 23 and 25, we did not expand the factor  $\exp[-U_\beta(s_\Delta)]$  in the above expansion. See (3.7) and (3.12). This will simplify the proof of the convergence of the expansion.



### 3.2. A Cluster Expansion for General Gibbs Measures

For given Gibbs measure  $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega^\beta)$  we use the equilibrium conditions in Definition 2.1.2 to write that for any  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\beta(f) &= \int \nu_\beta(ds) f(s) \\ &= \int \nu_\beta(d\bar{s}) \left\{ Z_{\Lambda, \beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) f(s_\Delta) \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Delta) - W_\beta(s_\Delta, \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c})] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

In order to develop a cluster expansion we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp[-V_\beta(s_\Delta) - W_\beta(s_\Delta, \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c})] \\ &= \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - \sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus \Delta} P_\beta(s_i) - U_\beta^{(1)}(\Delta, \Lambda; s_\Delta \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c}) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_\beta^{(1)}(\Delta, \Lambda; s_\Delta \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c}) &\equiv \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in \Delta, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Delta}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset \Lambda \setminus \Delta} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda^c}} U_\beta(s_i - \bar{s}_j) \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We remark that<sup>(12)</sup>

$$\exp[-W_\beta(s_\Delta, \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c})] = \lim_{\Lambda' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} \exp[-W_\beta(s_\Delta, \bar{s}_{\Lambda' \setminus \Lambda})]$$

for any  $\bar{s} \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Following the procedure from (3.7) to (3.14) in Section 3.1 and using a method similar to that used there one can derive the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp[-U_\beta^{(1)}(\Delta, \Lambda; s_\Delta \bar{s}_\Lambda)] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq X \in \mathcal{C}: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset) \\ X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(X): \\ P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \Delta, X) \text{ holds} \\ b_i \notin \mathfrak{B}(\Lambda^c), i = 1, \dots, n}} \prod_{j=1}^n h_\beta(s_{b_j}) \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp[-U_\beta^{(2)}(\Delta, X, \Lambda; s_{\Delta \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c})] \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &U_\beta^{(2)}(\Delta, X, \Lambda; s_{\Delta \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset \Lambda \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in \Lambda \setminus (\Delta \cup X) \\ j \in \Lambda^c \setminus (\Delta \cup X)}} U_\beta(s_i - \bar{s}_j) \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

We define that for  $X \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\tilde{g}(X) \equiv \int v_\beta(ds) \exp \left[ \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset X} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + W_\beta(s_X, s_{X^c}) \right] \quad (3.26)$$

Using (3.8), (3.25), and the equilibrium conditions, it can be checked that for  $\Delta \cup X \subset \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{|\Delta \cup X|} \int v_\beta(d\bar{s}) Z_{\mathcal{A}, \beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (\Delta \cup X)}) \\ & \times \exp \left[ - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} P_\beta(s_i) \right] \exp[-U_\beta^{(2)}(\Delta, X, \mathcal{A}; s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c})] \\ & = \tilde{g}(\Delta \cup X) \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

In (3.24) we divide the sum into two parts:

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \dots = \sum_{X \subset \mathcal{A}} \dots + \sum_{X: X \cap \mathcal{A}^c \neq \emptyset} \dots$$

We first consider the contribution from  $X \subset \mathcal{A}$ . If one substitutes (3.24) into (3.22) and then (3.22) into (3.21) and uses (3.27), one may observe that the term corresponding to  $X \subset \mathcal{A}$  is exactly  $K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) \tilde{g}(\Delta \cup X)$ , where  $K_\beta(\Delta, X; f)$  has been defined in (3.19a).

Next we consider the contribution from  $X$  with  $X \cap \mathcal{A}^c \neq \emptyset$ . Observe that for  $X$  with  $X \cap \mathcal{A}^c \neq \emptyset$

$$\begin{aligned} & -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \Delta} P_\beta(s_i) - U_\beta^{(2)}(\Delta, X, \mathcal{A}; s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (\Delta \cup X)} \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \\ & = -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - W_\beta(s_\Delta, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) + U_\beta^{(3)}(\Delta, X, \mathcal{A}; s_\Delta \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & U_\beta^{(3)}(\Delta, X, \mathcal{A}; s_\Delta \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \\ & = \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset \Delta \cap (X \setminus \Delta)} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + W_\beta(s_\Delta, s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus \Delta}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \cap (X \setminus \Delta) \\ j \in \mathcal{A} \setminus (X \cup \Delta)}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A}^c \cap X \\ j \in \mathcal{A}}} U_\beta(\bar{s}_i - s_j) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A}^c \setminus (X \cup \Delta) \\ j \in \mathcal{A} \cap (X \cup \Delta)}} U_\beta(\bar{s}_i - s_j) \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

We use (3.28) and the equilibrium conditions to conclude that for any  $h \in L^1(\Omega^\beta, \lambda_\beta(ds_{\mathcal{A} \cup X}))$  and  $X$  with  $X \cap \mathcal{A}^c \neq \emptyset$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int v_\beta(d\bar{s}) Z_{\mathcal{A},\beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda(ds_{\mathcal{A}}) h(s_{\mathcal{A} \cup X}) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}}) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}} P_\beta(s_i) \right] \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[ -U_\beta^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}, X, \mathcal{A}; s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup X)} \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \right] \\ & = \int v_\beta(ds) h(s_{\mathcal{A} \cup X}) \exp \left[ U_\beta^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}, X, \mathcal{A}; s_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Thus, combining the above results, we conclude that for any  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$

$$v_\beta(f) = \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq X \subset \mathcal{A}} K_\beta(\mathcal{A}, X; f) \tilde{g}(\mathcal{A} \cup X) + R_{\mathcal{A},\beta}(f) \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{A},\beta}(f) = & \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{A} \cap X \neq \emptyset \\ \mathcal{A}^c \cap X \neq \emptyset}} \int v_\beta(ds) f(s_{\mathcal{A}}) \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(X) \\ P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \mathcal{A}, X) \text{ holds} \\ b_i \notin \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}^c)}} \prod_{j=1}^n h_\beta(s_{b_j}) \\ & \times \exp \left[ U_\beta^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}, X, \mathcal{A}; s_{\mathcal{A}} s_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Here  $U_\beta^{(3)}$  has been given in (3.29). The expression (3.31) is the *cluster expansion for general Gibbs measures*.

### 4. BASIC ESTIMATES

In this section we collect basic estimates which will be used in the proofs of the convergence of the cluster expansions in Section 3. We derive the estimates for quantum unbounded spin systems in Section 4.1. We also derive the necessary estimates for classical unbounded spin systems in Section 4.2 for the reader’s convenience. Throughout this section we assume that the potential  $\Phi$  satisfies the conditions on Assumption 2.2.1.

#### 4.1. Basic Estimates: Quantum Systems

Recall the definition of  $Z_\beta^{(0)}$  in (3.18). We use the following notation:

$$|s|_\beta = \left[ \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right]^{1/2} \tag{4.1}$$

for  $s \in S^\beta$ . Recall also the notations in (2.9) and (3.1).

Let  $\gamma > 2$  be the constant in Assumption 2.2.1(b) and let  $\alpha$  be a fixed positive number.

**Lemma 4.1.1.** For  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$  there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the following results hold:

(a) The bound

$$Z_\beta^{(0)} \geq c_1 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)}$$

holds.

(b) For given  $A \geq 0$  the bound

$$\int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \leq c_2 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)}$$

holds.

(c) For given  $A \geq 0$  the bound

$$\int \lambda_\beta(ds) |s|_\beta \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \leq c_3 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \beta^{(1 - 2/\gamma)/2}$$

holds.

The proof of the above lemma will be given at the end of this subsection. As a consequence one has the following results:

**Corollary 4.1.2.** For given  $A \geq 0$  there exist positive constants  $c'_1$  and  $c'_2$  independent of  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ ) such that the bounds

$$(Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \leq c'_1$$

and

$$(Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) |s|_\beta \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \leq c'_2 \beta^{(1 - 2/\gamma)/2}$$

hold.

*Proof.* The corollary follows from Lemma 4.1.1. ■

In the proof of the convergence of the cluster expansion (3.8) for general Gibbs measures, we will need  $\beta$ -dependent probability estimates for

Gibbs measures. Recall the function  $\Psi$  in Assumption 2.2.1(c). For given  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$ , and constant  $A \geq 0$ , put

$$\nu_\beta(ds; A, \Delta) \equiv \exp \left[ A \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in \Delta, j \in \Delta^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \right] \nu_\beta(ds) \quad (4.2)$$

and let  $\rho_\beta(s_\Delta; A, \Delta)$  be the distribution of  $\nu_\beta(ds; A, \Delta)$  on  $(\Omega_\Delta^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$  with respect to  $\lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta)$ :

$$\nu_\beta(ds_\Delta; A, \Delta) = \rho_\beta(s_\Delta; A, \Delta) \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) \quad (4.3)$$

From the equilibrium conditions in Definition 2.1.2, it follows that for any  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\beta(s_\Delta; A, \Delta) &= \int \nu_\beta(d\bar{s}) Z_{\Lambda, \beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Lambda \setminus \Delta}) \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Lambda) - W_\beta(s_\Lambda, \bar{s}_{\Lambda^c})] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ A \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in \Delta, j \in \Delta^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |\bar{s}_j|_\beta \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\tilde{s}_j = s_j$  if  $j \in \Delta$ , and  $\tilde{s}_j = \bar{s}_j$  if  $j \in \Delta^c$ . We also write that for  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\beta^{(0)}(s_\Delta; A, \Delta) &= Z_{\Lambda, \beta}^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Lambda \setminus \Delta}) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Lambda) + A \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in \Delta, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Delta} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We then have the following results:

**Proposition 4.1.3.** Under the assumptions as in Lemma 4.1.1 one has the following results: (a) For any  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $A \geq 0$ , and  $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$ , there exist constants  $A^* > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the bound

$$\rho_\beta(s_\Delta; A, \Delta) \leq \prod_{i \in \Delta} \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \exp \left\{ - \left[ A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \delta \right] \right\}$$

holds

(b) For any  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $A \geq 0$ , there exist constants  $\bar{A} > 0$  and  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the bound

$$\rho_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(s_\Delta; A, \Delta) \leq \prod_{i \in \Delta} \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \exp \left\{ - \left[ \bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \bar{\delta} \right] \right\}$$

holds.

The proof of the above proposition will be given in the Appendix. The above results are stronger than the Ruelle-type probability estimates in ref. 32.

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.1.* (a) For any  $r > 0$ , put

$$\Sigma(r) = \{s \in S^\beta : \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq \beta} |s(\tau)| \leq r\} \tag{4.6}$$

Then one has that for  $\beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta^{(0)} &\geq \int_{\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s)] \\ &\geq c \int_{\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp\left[-D_3 \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau\right] \\ &\geq c \int_{\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $A(r)$  the largest box contained in the ball of radius  $r$  and let

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(r) = \{s \in S^\beta : s(\tau) \in A(r), \forall \tau \in [0, \beta]\}$$

Then from the above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta^{(0)} &\geq c \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \\ &= c \operatorname{Tr} \left( \exp \left[ \frac{\beta}{2} \Delta_{A(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \right] \right) \\ &\geq c_1 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_{A(r)}$  is the Laplacian operator on  $L^2(A(r), dx)$  with Dirichlet b.c.

(b) By Assumption 2.2.1(b) one obtains that the left-hand side of the inequality is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s.} &\leq c \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp \left[ -\frac{D_3}{2} \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right] \\ &\leq c \operatorname{Tr} \left( \exp \left[ -\beta \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta + \frac{D_3}{2} |x|^\gamma \right) \right] \right) \\ &\leq c(2\pi\beta)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} dx \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \beta D_3 |x|^\gamma \right) \\ &\leq c_2 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the Golden–Thompson inequality<sup>(34)</sup> to get the third inequality.

(c) By the Hölder inequality one has that

$$|s|_\beta^2 = \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq \beta^{1-(2/\gamma)} \left[ \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right]^{2/\gamma} \tag{4.7}$$

Thus the left-hand side of the inequality is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s.} &\leq \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \left[ \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right]^{1/\gamma} \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \\ &\leq \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2} \left[ \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \left[ \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right] \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \right]^{1/\gamma} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A |s|_\beta^2] \right]^{1-1/\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the Hölder inequality to obtain the second inequality. Using the inequality  $x \exp(-x) \leq c \exp(-x/2)$  for  $x \geq 0$  and Assumption 2.2.1(b), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s.} &\leq c \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4} D_3 \int_0^\beta |s(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right] \\ &\leq c_3 \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2} \beta^{-d(1/2+1/\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the method employed in the proof of part (b) of the lemma to get the last inequality. ■

### 4.2. Basic Estimates: Classical Systems

We derive the basic estimates for the classical systems corresponding to Lemma 4.1.1–Proposition 4.1.3. Put

$$Z_\beta^{(0)} = \int dx \exp[-\beta P(x)] \tag{4.8}$$

We then have the following results:

**Lemma 4.2.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed positive number. For  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$  there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2,$  and  $c_3$  independent of  $\beta > 0$  such that the following results hold:

(a) The bound

$$Z_{\beta}^{(0)} \geq c_1 \beta^{-d/\gamma}$$

holds.

(b) For given  $A \geq 0$  the bound

$$\int dx \exp[-\beta P(x) + A\beta x^2] \leq c_2 \beta^{-d/\gamma}$$

holds.

(c) For given  $A \geq 0$  the bound

$$\int dx |\beta^{1/2} x| \exp[-\beta P(x) + A\beta x^2] \leq c_3 \beta^{-d/\gamma} \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2}$$

holds.

*Proof.* The lemma follows from (4.8), Assumption 2.2.1(b), and changes of variables. ■

**Corollary 4.2.2.** For given  $A \geq 0$  there exist positive constants  $c'_1$  and  $c'_2$  independent of  $\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ ) such that the bounds

$$(Z_{\beta}^{(0)})^{-1} \int dx \exp[-\beta P(x) + A\beta x^2] \leq c'_1$$

and

$$(Z_{\beta}^{(0)})^{-1} \int dx |\beta^{1/2} x| \exp[-\beta P(x) + A\beta x^2] \leq c'_2 \beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2}$$

hold.

*Proof.* The corollary follows from Lemma 4.2.1. ■

Denote by  $\mathcal{G}^{\beta\phi}(\Omega)$  the space of Gibbs measures for classical systems,<sup>(19)</sup> where  $\Omega = (\mathbf{R}^d)^{\mathcal{Z}^d}$ . For given  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $A \geq 0$ , and  $\nu_{\beta} \in \mathcal{G}^{\beta\phi}(\Omega)$ , put

$$\nu_{\beta}(d\omega; A, \Delta) \equiv \exp \left[ A \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in \Delta, j \in \Delta^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |x_i| \cdot |x_j| \right] \nu_{\beta}(d\omega)$$



and let  $\rho_\beta(x_\Delta; A, \Delta)$  be the distribution of  $\nu_\beta(d\omega; A, \Delta)$  on  $(\Omega_\Delta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$  with respect to  $dx_\Delta$ . We also write that for  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\rho_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(x_\Delta; A, \Delta) = Z_{\Lambda, \beta}^{-1} \int dx_{\Lambda \setminus \Delta} \times \exp \left[ -\beta V(x_\Lambda) + A \sum_{\{i, j\}: i \in \Delta, j \in \Lambda \setminus \Delta} \Psi(|i-j|) |x_i| \cdot |x_j| \right]$$

where  $Z_{\Lambda, \beta}$  is the partition function, i.e., the normalization factor for  $A = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.3.** Under the assumption as in Lemma 4.2.1 one has the following results:

(a) For any  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $A \geq 0$ , and  $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{G}^{\beta\Phi}(\Omega)$ , there exist constants  $A^*$  and  $\delta$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the bound

$$\rho_\beta(x_\Delta; A, \Delta) \leq \prod_{i \in \Delta} \beta^{d/\gamma} \exp(-A^* \beta |x_i|^\gamma + \delta)$$

holds.

(b) For any  $\Delta \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $A \geq 0$ , there exist constants  $\bar{A} > 0$  and  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the bound

$$\rho_{\Lambda, \beta}^{(0)}(x_\Delta; A, \Delta) \leq \prod_{i \in \Delta} \beta^{d/\gamma} \exp(-\bar{A} \beta |x_i|^\gamma + \bar{\delta})$$

holds.

The proof of the above proposition will be given in the Appendix.

### 5. CONVERGENCE OF THE CLUSTER EXPANSION: ZERO BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

We first need the following result:

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be a fixed positive number. For  $0 < \beta \leq \hat{\alpha}$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  and  $X \subset \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  such that the bound

$$g_\Lambda(X) \leq \exp(c |X|)$$

holds, where  $|X| = \text{card}(X)$ .

*Proof.* From (3.19) it follows that

$$g_\Lambda(X) = (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{|X|} Z_{\Lambda \setminus X, \beta} / Z_{\Lambda, \beta}$$

and

$$(Z_\beta^{(0)})^{|\mathcal{X}|} Z_{A \setminus X, \beta} = \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_A) + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \subseteq A: \\ \{i,j\} \cap X \neq \emptyset}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) \right]$$

Notice that by Condition 2.2.1(c) there exists  $A > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \subseteq A: \\ \{i,j\} \cap X \neq \emptyset}} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \subseteq X} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + W_\beta(s_X, s_{A \setminus X}) \\ &\leq A \sum_{i \in X} |s_i|_\beta^2 + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in X, j \in A \setminus X}} \Psi(|i - j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Thus we use (4.5) and Proposition 4.1.3(b) to conclude that

$$g_A(X) \leq \left\{ \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp \left[ -\bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau + A |s_i|_\beta^2 + \bar{\delta} \right] \right\}^{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

Now the proposition follows from the method used in the proof of Lemma 4.1.1(b) and the above inequality. ■

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be the constant in Assumption 2.2.1(c). For given  $c > 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta(A) &\equiv \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq X \in \mathcal{C}: \\ A \cap X \neq \emptyset \\ X \setminus A \neq \emptyset}} \int \lambda(ds_{A \cup X}) |K_\beta(A, X; s_{A \cup X})| \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ c |A \cup X| + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \log[\hat{d}(A, X)] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\hat{d}(A, X) = \sup\{\text{dist}(A, i) : i \in X\}$ . The main result in this section is the following:

**Theorem 5.2.** There exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  the series  $A_\beta(A)$  in (5.1) converges and the bound

$$A_\beta(A) \leq e^{a|A|} A(\beta)$$

holds, where  $a$  and  $A(\beta)$  are constants such that  $A(\beta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ .

We postpone the proof of the above theorem until later. As a consequence of the theorem we have the following result:

**Theorem 5.3.** There exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_\Delta)$  the bound

$$|v_{\Delta, \beta}^{(0)}(f)| \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{\alpha|\Delta|} A'(\beta)$$

holds uniformly in  $\Delta$ , where  $A'(\beta) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall the cluster expansion in (3.20). By Proposition 5.1, Assumption 2.2.1(c), and Corollary 4.1.2 (in that order) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq X: X \subset \Delta} |K_\beta(\Delta, X; f)| g_\Delta(\Delta \cup X) \\ & \leq e^{c|\Delta|} (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|\Delta|} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) |f(s_\Delta)| \exp[-V_\beta(s_\Delta)] \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{c|\Delta|} \left\{ (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) \exp[-P_\beta(s) + A|s|_\beta^2] \right\}^{|\Delta|} \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{\alpha|\Delta|} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (5.1), Proposition 5.1, and Theorem 5.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq X \subset \Delta: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset \\ X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset}} |K_\beta(\Delta, X; f)| g_\Delta(\Delta \cup X) \leq \|f\|_\infty A_\beta(\Delta) \\ & \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{\alpha|\Delta|} A(\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The theorem follows from the cluster expansion (3.20) and the above bounds. ■

In the rest of this section we prove Theorem 5.2. Recall the definition of  $\hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, X; s_{\Delta \cup X})$  in (3.15). We write  $X = Y \cup W$  with  $Y = \Delta \cap X$  and  $W = X \setminus \Delta$ . For  $\Delta, Y, W \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $Y \subset \Delta$  and  $W \subset \mathbf{Z}^\nu \setminus \Delta$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta(\Delta; Y, W) & \equiv \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) \lambda_\beta(ds_W) |\hat{K}_\beta(\Delta, Y \cup W; s_{\Delta \cup W})| \\ & = \int \lambda_\beta(ds_\Delta) \lambda_\beta(ds_W) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - \sum_{j \in W} P_\beta(s_j) \right] \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(Y \cup W): \\ \cup b_j = Y \cup W, b_j \notin \mathfrak{B}(Y), \\ \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \{\Delta\} \text{ connected}}} \prod_{j=1}^n |h_\beta(s_{b_j})| \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The right-hand side of (5.3) can be viewed as the sum over graphs  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  which are  $\Delta$ -connected (i.e.,  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \{\Delta\}$  is connected). We reduce the sum over  $\Delta$ -connected graphs to that of  $\Delta$ -connected tree graphs as follows:

**Proposition 5.4.** For given  $\Delta, Y, W = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \in \mathcal{C}$ , the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 & I_\beta(\Delta; Y, \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}) \\
 & \leq \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Delta \cup W}) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_\Delta) - \sum_{j \in W} P_\beta(s_j) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \exp \left[ \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \\ j \in Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}}} |U_\beta(s_i - s_j)| \right] \prod_{k=1}^m \left[ \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}} |U_\beta(s_{i_k} - s_j)| \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

holds.

*Proof.* For fixed  $\Delta, Y, W = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  with  $Y \subset \Delta$  and  $W \subset \mathbf{Z}^v \setminus \Delta$ , let  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(Y \cup W)$  with  $\cup b_j = Y \cup W, b_j \notin \mathcal{B}(Y)$ , and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \{\Delta\}$  connected be given. Notice that there exists at least one  $i_l \in W$  such that if one removes all the bonds adjacent to  $i_l$  (i.e., all  $b = \{i, j\} \in \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ ) from  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ , what remains is still a  $\Delta$ -connected family of bonds. By relabeling the elements of  $W$  one may assume  $i_l = i_m$ . Thus there exists  $W' \subset Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n |h_\beta(s_{b_j})| = \left[ \prod_{\{i_m, i\}: i \in W'} |h_\beta(s_{i_m}, s_i)| \right] \left[ \prod_{j=1}^p |h_\beta(s_{b_{j_i}})| \right] \tag{5.4}$$

where  $\{b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_p}\} \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  is  $\Delta$ -connected. We substitute (5.4) into (5.3) and perform the summation over  $W' \subset Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}$ . By a recoupling identity (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\emptyset \neq W' \subset Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}} \prod_{\{i_m, i\}: i \in W'} |h_\beta(s_{i_m}, s_i)| \\
 & \leq \exp \left[ \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}} |U_\beta(s_{i_m} - s_j)| \right] - 1
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

This implies that for given  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset \Delta$  and  $\emptyset \neq W = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subset \mathbf{Z}^v \setminus \Delta$  the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(Y \cup W): \\ \cup b_j = Y \cup W, b_j \notin \mathcal{B}(Y), \\ \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \cup \{\Delta\} \text{ connected}}} \prod_{j=1}^n |h_\beta(s_{b_j})| \\
 & \leq \left\{ \exp \left[ \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}} |U_\beta(s_{i_m} - s_j)| \right] - 1 \right\} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_p\} \subset \mathcal{B}(Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}): \\ \cup b_j = Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}\}, b_j \notin \mathcal{B}(Y), \\ \{b_1, \dots, b_p\} \text{ connected}}} \prod_{i=1}^p |h_\beta(s_{b_i})|
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Iterating the above inequality  $m$  times and using the fact that  $e^x - 1 \leq |x| e^{|x|}$  for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , the proposition follows from (5.3) and (5.6). ■

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.2.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* Notice that if  $f(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  is a symmetric function on  $\mathbf{Z}^v$ , then

$$\sum_{\emptyset \neq X \subset \mathbf{Z}^v} f(X) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum'_{i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{Z}^v} f(i_1, \dots, i_m)$$

where  $\sum'$  denotes the sum over  $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{Z}^v$  with the restriction that  $i_k \neq i_l$  if  $k \neq l$ ,  $k, l = 1, \dots, m$ . For given  $\Delta$ ,  $X$  with  $\Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and  $X \setminus \Delta \neq \emptyset$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} X &= Y \cup W, & Y &= X \cap \Delta, & W &= X \setminus \Delta \\ Y &= \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}, & W &= \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \end{aligned}$$

From (5.1), (3.19a), and (5.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta(\Delta) &= \sum_{q=1}^{|\Delta|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} \frac{1}{m!} \sum'_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_q \in \Delta \\ i_1, \dots, i_m \in \Delta^c}} (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-(|\Delta|+m)} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ c(|\Delta|+m) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log [\hat{d}(\Delta, W)] \right\} \\ &\quad \times I_\beta(\Delta; \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}, \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where  $\hat{d}(\Delta, W) = \sup \{ \text{dist}(\Delta, j) : j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \}$ .

Next we estimate  $I_\beta(\Delta; Y, W)$  by using Proposition 5.4. From Assumption 2.2.1(c) it follows that there exists  $A > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{B}(X)} |U_\beta(s_i - s_j)| \leq A \sum_{i \in X} |s_i|_\beta^2 \tag{5.8}$$

Write that for  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset \Delta$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\bar{I}_\beta(\Delta; Y, m) \equiv \sum'_{i_1, \dots, i_m \in \Delta^c} \exp \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log [\hat{d}(\Delta, W)] \right\} I_\beta(\Delta; Y, \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}) \tag{5.9}$$

where  $W = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ . Using Proposition 5.4, the bound (5.8), and Assumption 2.2.1(c), we obtain that for  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset \Delta$  and  $\emptyset \neq W = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\beta(\mathcal{A}; Y, \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}) &\leq \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\mathcal{A}}) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}}) + A \sum_{j \in Y} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int \lambda_\beta(ds_W) \exp \left[ - \sum_{i \in W} (P_\beta(s_i) - A |s_i|_\beta^2) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}} \Psi(|i_k - j|) |s_{i_k}|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \right\} \tag{5.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

If one expands  $\prod_{k=1}^m \{ \dots \}$ , the right-hand side of (5.10) has  $(|Y| + m - 1)!/|Y|!$  terms. One may recognize that each term can be labeled by a tree graph  $T = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_l$  on the vertex set  $Y \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  with some  $l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq |Y|$ ) connected components  $T_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, l$ . Notice that some of the  $T_i$  can be a singleton. Each connected component  $T_k$  has a root in  $Y$ . For each bond  $\{i, j\} \in T$ , we assign the factor  $\Psi(|i - j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta$ . In order to control the distance factor  $\hat{d}(\mathcal{A}, W)$  in (5.9), we note that for any  $x \geq 1$ ,  $y \geq 1$ ,  $x + y \leq 2xy$  and so by an induction the bound

$$\log \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\log x_i + \log 2)$$

holds for any  $x_i \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By the above bound we conclude that

$$\log[\hat{d}(\mathcal{A}, W)] \leq \sum_{\{i, j\} \in T} [\log(|i - j|) + \log 2] \tag{5.11}$$

for any tree graph  $T = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_l$ . Next we substitute (5.10) into (5.9) and use (5.11). Then instead of  $\Psi(|i - j|)$  we have the factor  $(2 |i - j|)^{e/2} \Psi(|i - j|)$  for each bond  $\{i, j\} \in T$ , which is summable by Assumption 2.2.1(c). Put

$$D = 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^v} |i|^{e/2} \Psi(|i|)$$

We then perform the summations over  $i_m \in \mathcal{A}^c, \dots, i_1 \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and then resum over tree graphs to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I}_\beta(\mathcal{A}; Y, m) &\leq \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\mathcal{A}}) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}}) + A \sum_{j \in Y} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int \prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_\beta(ds_k) \exp \left[ - \sum_{k=1}^m (P_\beta(s_k) - A |s_k|_\beta^2) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{1, \dots, k-1\}} D |s_k|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \right) \tag{5.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the  $s_k$  are now just integral variables. Note that for any  $A > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{1, \dots, k-1\}} D |s_{ik}|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \right) \\ & \leq \left( \prod_{k=1}^m D |s_k|_\beta \right) \left( \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{1, \dots, m\}} |s_j|_\beta \right)^m \\ & \leq m! \bar{D}^m \left( \prod_{k=1}^m |s_k|_\beta \right) \exp \left( A \sum_{j \in Y \cup \{1, \dots, m\}} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

for some constant  $\bar{D}$  independent of  $m$  and  $\beta$ . Thus from (5.12) and (5.13) we obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_\beta(A; Y, m) & \leq m! \bar{D}^m \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_A) + 2A \sum_{j \in Y} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right] \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int \lambda_\beta(ds) |s|_\beta \exp[-P_\beta(s) + 2A |s|_\beta^2] \right\}^m \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

From (5.11), (5.8), (5.14), and Corollary 4.1.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta(A) & \leq \left\{ \sum_{q=1}^{|A|} \frac{1}{q!} (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|A|} e^{c|A|} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_A) + 2A \sum_{j \in Y: |Y|=q} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right] \left. \right\} \sum_{m=1}^\infty (\bar{c}\beta^{(1-2/\gamma)/2})^m \\ & \leq A(\beta) \sum_{Y \subset A} (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|A|} e^{c|A|} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_A) + 2A \sum_{j \in Y} |s_j|_\beta^2 \right] \\ & = A(\beta) (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|A|} e^{c|A|} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \prod_{i \in A} [1 + \exp(2A |s_i|_\beta^2)] \right\} \exp[-V_\beta(s_A)] \\ & \leq e^{a|A|} A(\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where  $A(\beta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.  $\blacksquare$

## 6. UNIQUENESS AND CLUSTER PROPERTIES OF GIBBS STATES

### 6.1. Convergence of Cluster Expansion for General Gibbs Measures

We recall the cluster expansion (3.31) for general Gibbs measures. We state our results:

**Proposition 6.1.1.** Under Assumption 2.2.1 there exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  the following results hold:

- (a) There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{g}(X) \leq \exp(c |X|)$$

- (b) For any  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_A)$ ,  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$ ,  $R_{A,\beta}(f)$  is absolutely summable and

$$|R_{A,\beta}(f)| \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{c|A|} \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log[\text{dist}(A, A^c)]\right\} A(\beta)$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ .

**Corollary 6.1.2.** For any  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_A)$ ,  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$ , and  $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  one has

$$\nu_\beta(f) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{C}: A \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)} K_\beta(A, X; f) \tilde{g}(A \cup X)$$

The above expansion is absolutely summable.

*Proof.* The above result follows from (3.31), Proposition 6.1.1, and Proposition 5.2. ■

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.1.* (a) Recall the definition of  $\tilde{g}$  in (3.26). Since there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \mathfrak{B}(X)} U_\beta(s_i - s_j) + W_\beta(s_X, s_{X^c}) \\ & \leq J \sum_{i \in X} |s_i|_\beta^2 + \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in X, j \in X^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \end{aligned}$$



it follows from (3.26) and Proposition 4.1.3(a) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(X) &\leq \prod_{i \in X} \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} e^\delta \int \lambda_\beta(ds_i) \exp \left[ -A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau + J |s_i|_\beta^2 \right] \\ &\leq \prod_{i \in X} \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} e^D \int \lambda_\beta(ds_i) \exp \left[ -\bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau \right] \\ &\leq e^{c|X|} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the method in the proof of Lemma 4.1.1(b) to get the last inequality.

(b) From (3.29) and Assumption 2.2.1(c) it follows that there exists a constant  $J > 0$  such that

$$U_\beta^{(3)} \leq 2J \sum_{i \in \Delta \cup X} |s_i|_\beta^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta \cup X \\ j \in (\Delta \cup X)^c}} \Psi(|i - j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta$$

Now instead of  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  in Proposition 4.1.3(a) we take  $\Delta \cup X$  and  $\Lambda \cup X$ , respectively. Using Proposition 4.1.3(a), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{A,\beta}| &\leq \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{C}: \\ \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset \\ \Lambda^c \cap X \neq \emptyset}} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{\Delta \cup X}) \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}(X): \\ P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}; \Delta, X) \text{ holds} \\ b_i \notin \mathfrak{B}(\Lambda^c)}} \prod_{i=1}^n |h_\beta(s_{b_i})| \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \prod_{i \in \Delta \cup X} \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \exp \left[ -A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau + 2J |s_i|_\beta^2 + \delta \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By using the method in the proof of Lemma A.1.1(b)–(c), one obtains that for any  $A^* > 0$ ,  $J > 0$  there exist  $c'_1$  and  $c'_2$  independent of  $\beta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp \left[ -A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau + J |s_i|_\beta^2 \right] &\leq c'_1 \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \\ \int \lambda_\beta(ds) |s|_\beta \exp \left[ -A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau + J |s_i|_\beta^2 \right] &\leq c'_2 \beta^{(1 - 2/\gamma)/2} \beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

One may follow the proof of Theorem 5.2 step by step to conclude that the bound in part (b) of the proposition holds. ■

### 6.2. Kirkwood–Salsburg Type Integral Equations and Uniqueness

We first derive Kirkwood–Salsburg type integral equations<sup>(30)</sup> and then prove the uniqueness of Gibbs states by using the equations. Let  $f$  be a function defined on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Such functions form a Banach space  $\mathfrak{F}_\xi$ :

$$\mathfrak{F}_\xi = \{f: \|f\| = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \xi^{-|X|} |f(X)| < \infty\}, \quad \xi > 0 \tag{6.1}$$

We propose to derive an equation of the form<sup>(30)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} g_A &= \mathbb{1} + K_A g_A \\ g &= \mathbb{1} + Kg \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{1}(\emptyset) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{1}(X) = 0$  if  $|X| \neq 0$ . Furthermore, we will show that  $\|K_A\| < 1$ ,  $\|K\| < 1$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_A &= (\mathbf{1} - K_A)^{-1} \mathbb{1} \\ g &= (\mathbf{1} - K)^{-1} \mathbb{1} \end{aligned}$$

are well defined and for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the limit

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} g_A(X) = g(X)$$

exists. In (3.15), we chose  $\mathcal{A} = \{i_1\}$  for a fixed  $i_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $i_1 \in X$ , put

$$\hat{K}_\beta(\{i_1\}, X) \equiv \int \lambda_\beta(ds_X) \hat{K}_\beta(\{i_1\}, X; s_X) \tag{6.2}$$

Following the procedure in Section 3.1, it can be checked that

$$Z_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (X - \{i_1\}), \beta} = Z_\beta^{(0)} Z_{\mathcal{A} \setminus X, \beta} + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathcal{A} \setminus (X - \{i_1\}) \\ i_1 \in S, |S| \geq 2}} \hat{K}_\beta(\{i_1\}, S) Z_{\mathcal{A} \setminus (X \cup S), \beta} \tag{6.3}$$

As in Section 3.1, let

$$K_\beta(\{i_1\}, S) = \hat{K}_\beta(\{i_1\}, S) / (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{|S|} \tag{6.4}$$

For any  $\beta$ , we define an operator  $K_\beta$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_\xi$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (K_\beta f)(\emptyset) &= 0 \\ (K_\beta f)(X) &= f(X - \{i_1\}) - \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^v \setminus (X - \{i_1\}) \\ i_1 \in S, |S| \geq 2}} \hat{K}_\beta(\{i_1\}, S) f(X \cup S) \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

We introduce the operator  $\chi_A$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_\xi$  defined by

$$(\chi_A f)(X) = \chi_A(X) f(X) \tag{6.6}$$

where  $\chi_A(X) = 1$  if  $X \subset A$  and  $\chi_A(X) = 0$  otherwise.

**Lemma 6.2.1.** Let  $g_A$  be given by (3.19d). Then the relation

$$g_A = \mathbb{1} + \chi_A K_\beta \chi_A g_A$$

holds for any  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* The lemma follows from (6.3) and (6.4). ■

**Proposition 6.2.2.** There exists  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  the following results hold:

(a) For  $\xi = e^c$  the bound

$$\|\chi_A K_\beta \chi_A\| < 1$$

holds uniformly in  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $c$  is the constant appearing in Theorem 5.1.

(b) The limit

$$g(X) = \lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} g_A(X)$$

exists for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, the function  $g$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}_\xi$  and the equation

$$g = \mathbb{1} + K_\beta g$$

holds.

(c) For any  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathfrak{F}_A)$  the infinite-volume limit

$$v_\beta^0(f) = \lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} v_{A,\beta}^0(f)$$

exists. Furthermore, the equation

$$v_\beta^0(f) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{C}: \Delta \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)} K_\beta(\Delta, X; f) g(\Delta \cup X)$$

holds for any  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathfrak{F}_A)$ .

*Proof.* (a) From (6.5), (6.6), and Theorem 5.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_A K_\beta \chi_A\| &\leq e^{-c} \left( 1 + \sup_{i_1 \in \mathbf{Z}^r} \sum_{i_1 \in S, |S| \geq 2} |K_\beta(\{i_1\}, S)| e^{c|S|} \right) \\ &\leq e^{-c} [1 + e^a A(\beta)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(\beta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . Part (a) follows from the above bounds.

(b) As in the proof of (a), we can show that  $\|K_\beta\| < 1$ . Hence the equation

$$g = \mathbb{1} + K_\beta g$$

is well defined for a unique  $g$ . Using the standard argument in ref. 30, we can show that for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $g_A(X) \rightarrow g(X)$  as  $A \rightarrow 0$ . For the details we refer to ref. 30.

(c) This follows from (3.20), Theorem 5.2, and part (b) of the proposition. ■

Now we are ready to prove the uniqueness of Gibbs states, Theorem 2.2.2. By the definition of Gibbs states we only need to show the uniqueness of Gibbs measures.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.2.* Recall the definition of  $\tilde{g}(X)$  in (3.26). By the equilibrium condition [see also (3.27)], we can write that for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}(X \subset A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(X) &= \int \nu_\beta(d\bar{s}) Z_{A,\beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_A) \\ &\quad \times \exp[-P_\beta(s_X) - V_\beta(s_{A \setminus X}) - W_\beta(s_{A \setminus X}, \bar{s}_{A^c})] \end{aligned}$$

Adapting the method used in Section 3.2 [see also (6.3)], one obtains the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(X - \{i_1\}) &= \tilde{g}(X) + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \in S \subset A \setminus (X - \{i_1\}) \\ i_1 \in S, |S| \geq 2}} K_\beta(\{i_1\}, S) \tilde{g}(X \cup S) \\ &\quad + \tilde{R}_{A,\beta}(X) \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for any  $i_1 \in X$ , where  $\tilde{R}_{A,\beta}(X)$  is the contribution from  $S$  with  $i_1 \in S$  and  $S \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ : The precise expression can be obtained from the expression of  $R_{A,\beta}(f)$  in (3.32) by replacing  $A$  and  $X$  by  $\{i_1\}$  and  $S$ , respectively, and by

setting  $f = 1$  in (3.32). By the same reason as that in the proof of Proposition 6.1.1(b), it can be checked that

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^v} \tilde{R}_{A,\beta}(X) = 0 \tag{6.8}$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , and so from (6.7), (6.8), and (6.5) one concludes that the function  $\tilde{g}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the integral equation

$$\tilde{g} = \mathbb{1} + K_\beta \tilde{g}$$

Since  $\|K_\beta\|_\xi < 1$  for any  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$  (by Lemma 6.2.1 and its proof), the above equation has a unique solution in  $\mathfrak{F}_\xi$ . By Proposition 6.2.2 we conclude that

$$g = \tilde{g}$$

Thus Corollary 6.1.2 and Proposition 6.2.2(c) imply that

$$v_\beta^{(0)} = v_\beta$$

for any  $v_\beta \in \mathcal{G}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

### 6.3. Cluster Properties: Proof of Theorem 2.2.3

We have developed the cluster expansion for Gibbs measure  $v_\beta^{(0)}$  and the convergence of the cluster expansion for  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$ . Let  $v_\beta$  be the unique Gibbs measure. We then have the following cluster properties: for any  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_{A_1})$  and  $f_2 \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F}_{A_2})$

$$|v_\beta(f_1 f_2) - v_\beta(f_1) v_\beta(f_2)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \text{dist}(A_1, A_2) \rightarrow \infty \tag{6.9}$$

Since there are well-known methods<sup>(6,7,30)</sup> to derive the cluster properties from the convergence of the cluster expansion, we will not produce the proof of (6.9) and refer the reader to the refs. 6, 7, and 30.

Next we consider the cluster properties of the unique Gibbs state  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_\beta^\Phi(\mathfrak{U})$  for  $0 < \beta < \beta_0$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathfrak{T}_A^{(2)}$  be the class of Hilbert–Schmidt operators in  $\mathfrak{U}_A$ . Since  $\mathfrak{T}_A^{(2)}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $\mathfrak{U}_A$  by the von Neumann density theorem, it suffices to show the cluster property for  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_{A_1}^{(2)}$  and  $A_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_{A_2}^{(2)}$ . For given  $A \in \mathfrak{T}_A^{(2)}$ , let  $h_A(x_A, y_A)$  be the integral kernel of  $A$ . Define

$$K_\beta(A, X; A) \equiv (Z_\beta^{(0)})^{-|A \cup X|} \int dx_A \int dy_A h_A(x_A, y_A) \\ \times \int P_{x_A, y_A}^\beta(d\hat{s}_A) \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{X \setminus A}) \hat{K}_\beta(A, X; \hat{s}_A s_{X \setminus A}) \tag{6.10}$$

One may compare the above expression to  $K_\beta(\Delta, X; f)$  in (3.16) and (3.19). By the method in Section 3.1 one may derive the following cluster expansion:

$$\rho_{A,\beta}^{(0)}(A) = \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \subseteq X \subset A: \\ A \cap X \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset) \\ X \cap A \neq \emptyset (X \neq \emptyset)}} K_\beta(\Delta, X; A) g_{A,\beta}(A \cup X) \tag{6.11}$$

for any  $A \in \mathfrak{I}_d^{(2)}$ . We write that for  $\hat{s} \in W_{x,y}$ ,  $|\hat{s}|_\beta^2 = \int_0^\beta |\hat{s}(\tau)|^2 d\tau$ . We remark that for any  $c > 0$

$$\prod_{i \in \Delta} \int P_{x_i, y_i}^\beta(d\hat{s}_i) \exp[-P_\beta(\hat{s}_i) + c |\hat{s}_i|_\beta^2] \leq c(\Delta, \beta) \prod_{i \in \Delta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} |x_i - y_i|^2\right)$$

and so a direct application of the method used in Section 5 proves the convergence of the expansion (6.11) for  $A \in \mathfrak{I}_d^{(2)}$ . The cluster properties follow from the convergence of the cluster expansion. ■

### 7. UNIQUENESS OF GIBBS STATES FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS

We consider quantum unbounded spin systems in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In ref. 22 the systems are studied extensively and under an appropriate assumption on the pair potential it is proved that for any value  $\beta > 0$  there exists an infinite-volume limiting Gibbs state which is translationally invariant and ergodic. Furthermore, it is analytic in terms of the self-interaction and two-body interaction potentials. The main tool used in ref. 22 is a polymer-type cluster expansion which differs from that in Section 4. Thus we do not know yet whether the state constructed in ref. 22 is a unique Gibbs state in the sense of Definition 2.1.2 (and Definition 2.1.4). In this section we prove that any one-dimensional system has a unique translationally invariant Gibbs state. That is, we produce the proof of Theorem 2.2.4. The main tool is a perturbation argument across the boundary  $\partial V$  of  $A \in \mathcal{G}^{(2, 15, 29)}$

Consider one-dimensional systems and denote by  $\mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  the family of translationally invariant Gibbs measures on  $(\Omega^\beta, \mathcal{F})$ . By using the method in refs. 19 and 26 one may show that the measure constructed in ref. 22 is a Gibbs measure, and so  $\mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  is not empty. A straightforward application of the method in the proof of Theorem 2.7 in ref. 26 shows that  $\mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega^\beta)$  is compact in the local convergence topology and a Choquet simplex.

For given  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $W_\beta(s_\Lambda, s_{\Lambda^c})$  be the interaction across the boundary of  $\Lambda$  defined in (2.12):

$$W_\beta(s_\Lambda, s_{\Lambda^c}) = \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda^c} U_\beta(s_i - s_j)$$

From now on we suppress  $\beta$  in the notations if there is no confusion involved. For  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ , define a function  $\tilde{W}_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$\tilde{W}_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}(s_\Lambda, s_{\Lambda^c}) = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \sum_{j \in \Lambda^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i| \cdot |s_j| \tag{7.1}$$

We then have the following result:

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $\Lambda = [-n, n]$  be an interval in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and let the condition in Theorem 2.2.4 be satisfied. Then  $\exp[\tilde{W}_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}]$  is an element of  $L^1(\Omega, d\nu)$  for any  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega)$ , and  $\int \exp[\tilde{W}_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}] d\nu$  is bounded uniformly in  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* For given  $\Lambda = [-n, n]$ , we write that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}'_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)} &= \sum_{|i| \leq n} \tilde{W}'_{i, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}(s_i, s_{\Lambda^c}) \\ \tilde{W}'_{i, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}(s_i, s_{\Lambda^c}) &= \sum_{j \geq n+1} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i| \cdot |s_j| \end{aligned}$$

and write  $\tilde{W}''_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(L)}$  analogously. For  $\Lambda = [-n, n]$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= D[\Psi(|n-i|)]^{-1/2} \\ D &= \sum_{|i| \leq n} [\Psi(|n-i|)]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p_i > 1$ ,  $i \in \Lambda$ , and  $\sum_{|i| \leq n} p_i^{-1} = 1$ . By the Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int \exp[\tilde{W}_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}] d\nu &\leq \left( \int \exp[2\tilde{W}'_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}] d\nu \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \exp[2\tilde{W}''_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(L)}] d\nu \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \int \exp[2\tilde{W}'_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}] d\nu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int \exp[2\tilde{W}'_{\Lambda, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}] d\nu \leq \prod_{|i| \leq n} \left( \int \exp[2p_i \tilde{W}'_{i, \Lambda^c}{}^{(R)}] d\nu \right)^{1/p_i} \tag{7.2}$$

Notice that

$$2p_i \tilde{W}_{\{i\}, A^c}^{(R)}(s_A, s_{A^c}) \leq 2D \sum_{j \geq n+1} [\Psi(|i-j|)]^{1/2} |s_i| \cdot |s_j|$$

Thus by Proposition 4.1.3(a), the right-hand side of (7.2) is bounded uniformly in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This proves the lemma. ■

For  $\mathcal{A} = [-n, n]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c}) = \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: \\ i \in A, d(A^c, \{i\}) \leq m \\ j \in A^c, d(A^c, \{j\}) \leq m}} U(s_i - s_j) \tag{7.3}$$

Then for any  $s \in \mathfrak{S}$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \exp[W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})] = \exp[W(s_A, s_{A^c})] \tag{7.4}$$

and

$$\exp[W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})] \leq \exp[\tilde{W}_{\mathcal{A}, A^c}(s_A, s_{A^c})] \tag{7.5}$$

For  $\mathcal{A} = [-n, n]$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  ( $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ ), we write

$$B_{\mathcal{A}}(A; m) \equiv \int v(ds) 1_A(s) \exp[W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})] \tag{7.6}$$

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \equiv \int v(ds) \exp[W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})]$$

and

$$v_{\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}(A) \equiv B_{\mathcal{A}}(A; m) / D_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \tag{7.7}$$

We also write

$$B_{\mathcal{A}}(A) \equiv \int v(ds) 1_A(s) \exp[W(s_A, s_{A^c})] \tag{7.8}$$

$$D_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \int v(ds) \exp[W(s_A, s_{A^c})]$$

and

$$v_{\mathcal{A}}(A) \equiv B_{\mathcal{A}}(A) / D_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{7.9}$$

**Lemma 7.2.** For given  $\mathcal{A} = [-n, n]$ ,  $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , the sequence  $\{B_{\mathcal{A}}(A; m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  (resp.  $\{D_{\mathcal{A}}(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) converges to  $B_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$  (resp.  $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ ) uniformly in  $\mathcal{A}$ .



*Proof.* Using the fundamental theorem of calculus and Assumption 2.2.1(c), one obtains

$$|B_A(A; m) - B_A(A)| \leq \int v(ds) |W(s_A, s_{A^c}) - W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})| \times \exp[\tilde{W}_{A, A^c}(s_A, s_{A^c})] \tag{7.10}$$

where  $\tilde{W}_{A, A^c}$  has been defined in (7.1). We note that

$$|W(s_A, s_{A^c}) - W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})| \leq \sum_{\substack{\{i, j\}: \\ i \in A, j \in A^c: \\ d(A^c, i) > m \text{ or } d(A, j) > m}} \Psi(|i - j|) |s_i| \cdot |s_j|$$

By Lemma 7.1

$$\int v(ds) \exp[\tilde{W}_{A, A^c}(s_A, s_{A^c})] \leq c$$

uniformly in  $A$ . Now the lemma for  $\{B_A(A; m)\}$  follows from (7.10), the dominated convergence theorem, and the above bounds. The proof for  $\{D_A(m)\}$  follows from the same argument. ■

We are now ready to show Theorem 2.2.4.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.4.* Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega)$  be a fixed extremal element in  $\mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega)$ . By Assumption 2.2.1(c)

$$|W(s_A, s_{A^c})| \leq \tilde{W}_{A, A^c}(s_A, s_{A^c})$$

and so by Lemma 7.1,  $\exp[W(s_A, s_{A^c})]$  is an element of  $L^1(\Omega, d\nu)$  for any  $A = [-n, n]$ . By (7.9) and the equilibrium conditions one may check that

$$\nu_A = \nu_A^{(0)} \quad \text{on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_A) \tag{7.11}$$

where  $\nu_A^{(0)}$  is the local Gibbs state with zero boundary conditions. Let  $\nu^{(0)}$  be the infinite-volume limit of  $\nu_A^{(0)}$ .<sup>(22)</sup> Then from (7.11) and Lemma 7.2 it follows that for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}_A, \Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{(0)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}(A) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}^{(m)}(A) \right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}^{(m)}(A) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where  $A_n = [-n, n]$ . We assert that for given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_A$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_n}^{(m)}(A) = \nu(A) \tag{7.13}$$

We then conclude from (7.12) and (7.13) that

$$\nu^{(0)} = \nu$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}^\Phi(\Omega)$  is a simplex, this proves the theorem.

We prove the assertion (7.13). Put

$$F_A^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c}) = \exp[W^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})]/D_A(m)$$

Then  $F_A^{(m)} \in L^2(\Omega, \nu)$  and

$$\nu_A^{(m)}(A) = \int \nu(ds) 1_A(s) F_A^{(m)}(s_A, s_{A^c})$$

By using (7.5), the method in the proof Lemma 7.1, and Jensen's inequality one can show that  $\{\|F_{A_n}^{(m)}\|_2\}$  is bounded uniformly in  $A_n$  (and in  $m$ ). Thus there exists a subsequence  $\{F_{A_{n_j}}^{(m)}\}$  which converges weakly to an  $L^2$ -function, say  $F_\infty^{(m)}$ . Thus one has

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_{n_j}}^{(m)}(A) = \int d\nu 1_A F_\infty^{(m)}$$

It is easy to check that  $F_\infty^{(m)}$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ -measurable function, where  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  is the algebra of tail events.<sup>(19)</sup> Since  $\nu$  is trivial on  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  by the extremality of  $\nu$ ,<sup>(12)</sup> and  $\nu(F_\infty^{(m)}) = 1$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{A_{n_j}}^{(m)}(A) = \nu(A)$$

We note that  $\{n_A^{(m)}(A)\}$  is bounded uniformly in  $A$ . Since the above argument can be applied to any convergent subsequence of  $\{n_A^{(m)}(A)\}_n$  the assertion is proved. ■

### APPENDIX. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 4.1.3 AND 4.2.3

In this appendix we produce the proofs of explicitly  $\beta$ -dependent probability estimates in Propositions 4.1.3 and 4.2.3. We shall modify the probability estimates in refs. 26 and 32 in such a way that one extracts  $\beta$  dependences explicitly. The modifications are a  $\beta$ -dependent decomposition of the configuration space  $\Omega^\beta$  and a  $\beta$ -independent  $\lambda$  substitution in refs. 26 and 32.

As in ref. 32, for given  $\alpha > 0$  we can choose an integer  $P_0 > 0$  and for each  $n \geq P_0$  an integer  $l_n > 0$  such that  $|l_{n+1}/l_n - (1 + 2\alpha)| < 0$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} [n] &= \{i \in \mathbf{Z}^v : |i| \leq l_n\} \\ V_n &= (2l_n + 1)^v \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The following is Proposition 2.1 of ref. 32.

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  be given, and let  $\Psi$  be the function on the natural integers given in Assumption 2.1.1(d) [also in Assumption 2.2.1(c)]. If  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small, one can choose an increasing sequence  $\{\psi_n\}$  such that  $\psi_n \geq 1$ ,  $\psi_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and fix  $P > P_0$  so that the following is true:

Let  $n(\cdot)$  be a function from  $\mathbf{Z}^v$  to the positive real numbers. Suppose that there exists  $q$  such that  $q \geq P$  and  $q$  is the largest integer for which

$$\sum_{i \in [q]} n(i)^2 \geq \psi_q V_q$$

Then the bound

$$\sum_{i \in [q+1]} c + \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \sum_{j \notin [q+1]} \Psi(|i-j|) \frac{1}{2} [n(i)^2 + n(j)^2] \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [q+1]} n(i)^2$$

holds.

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.3.* (a) Recall the notations in Eqs. (4.1)–(4.3). We first introduce a  $\beta$ -dependent decomposition of configuration space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_0 &= \left\{ s \in \Omega^\beta : \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \in [q]} \beta^{2/\gamma} |s_i|_\beta^2 \leq \psi_q V_q, \forall q \geq P \right\} \\ \mathfrak{R}_q &= \left\{ s \in \Omega^\beta : \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \in [q]} \beta^{2/\gamma} |s_i|_\beta^2 \leq \psi_q V_q \text{ and } \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \in [l]} \beta^{2/\gamma} |s_i|_\beta^2 < \psi_l V_l, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \forall l \geq q + 1 \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_0 \cup \left( \bigcup_{q \geq P} \mathfrak{R}_q \right)$$

From the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}$  in (2.3) one has  $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ . From the proof of Lemma 4.1.1(a) it follows that there exists a constant  $c$  independent of  $\beta$  such that the bound

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s)] \geq c\beta^{-d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)}$$

holds. Thus there exists  $\beta$ -independent constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that the bound

$$1 \leq \lambda \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \int_{\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_\beta(ds) \exp[-P_\beta(s)] \tag{A.3}$$

holds.

Recall the definition of  $\rho_\beta(s_A; A, \mathcal{A})$  in (4.3). We write that

$$\rho_\beta(s_A; A, \mathcal{A}) = \rho'_\beta(s_A; A, \mathcal{A}) + \rho''_\beta(s_A; A, \mathcal{A})$$

where  $\rho'_\beta$  is the contribution from  $\mathfrak{R}_0$  and  $\rho''_\beta$  the contribution from  $\bigcup_{q \geq p} \mathfrak{R}_q$ . We first consider  $\rho'_\beta$ . It follows from (4.4) that for any  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho'_\beta(s_A; A, \mathcal{A}) \\ &= \int \nu(d\bar{s}) Z_{A, \beta}(s)^{-1} \int \lambda_\beta(ds_{A \setminus \mathcal{A}}) 1_{\mathfrak{R}_0}(s_A \bar{s}_{A \setminus \mathcal{A}}) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ -V_\beta(s_A) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{A \setminus \mathcal{A}}) + A \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}, j \in \mathcal{A}^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |\tilde{s}_j|_\beta \right] \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where  $\tilde{s}_j = s_j$  if  $j \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\tilde{s}_j = \bar{s}_j$  if  $j \in \mathcal{A}^c$ . Note that

$$\sum_{i \in [q]} |s_i|_\beta^2 \leq \beta^{1-2/\gamma} \psi_q V_q \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{R}_0$$

There exists a constant  $D' > 0$  independent of  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ , such that for any  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s_A \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c} \in \mathfrak{R}_0$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_j|_\beta^2 \leq D' \tag{A.5}$$

See ref. 31 for the above bound. By Assumption 2.2.1 one has that for  $k \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} &-V_\beta(s_A) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \\ &= -P_\beta(s_k) - V_\beta(s_{A \setminus \{k\}}) - W_\beta(s_k, s_{A \setminus \{k\}}) - W_\beta(s_A, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \\ &\leq -P_\beta(s_k) - V_\beta(s_{A \setminus \{k\}}) - W_\beta(s'_k, s_{A \setminus \{k\}}) - W_\beta(s'_k s_{A \setminus \{k\}}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}) \\ &\quad + J(|s_k|_\beta^2 + |s'_k|_\beta^2) + \sum_i \Psi(|k-j|) |\tilde{s}_j|_\beta^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where  $J = \sum_j \Psi(|j|)$ . Thus from (A.5), (A.6), and the fact that  $|xy| \leq (x^2 + y^2)/2$  it follows that for any  $k \in \Delta$ ,  $s_{\Delta} \bar{s}_{\Delta^c} \in \mathfrak{R}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -V_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}) - W_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}, \bar{s}_{\Delta^c}) + A \sum_{i \in \Delta, j \in \Delta^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_{\beta} |\bar{s}_j|_{\beta} \\
 & \leq -V_{\beta}(s_{\Delta \setminus \{k\}}) - W_{\beta}(s'_k, s_{\Delta \setminus \{k\}}) - W_{\beta}(s'_k, s_{\Delta \setminus \{k\}}, \bar{s}_{\Delta^c}) \\
 & \quad - P_{\beta}(s_k) + \tilde{J}(|s_k|_{\beta}^2 + |s'_k|_{\beta}^2) \\
 & \quad + A \sum_{i \in \Delta \setminus \{k\}, j \in \Delta^c} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_{\beta} |\bar{s}_j|_{\beta} + D \tag{A.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $\tilde{J} > 0$  and  $D > 0$  independent of  $\beta$ . Now we use (A.3), (A.4), and (A.7) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho'_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}; A, D) \\
 & \leq \lambda \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} e^D \exp[-P_{\beta}(s_k) + \tilde{J}|s_k|_{\beta}^2] \sup_{s_k \in \Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \exp[\tilde{J}|s'_k|_{\beta}^2] \\
 & \quad \times \int \nu(d\bar{s}) Z_{A, \beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int_{\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})} \lambda_{\beta}(ds'_k) \int \lambda_{\beta}(ds_{\Delta \setminus \{k\}}) \\
 & \quad \times \exp \left[ -V_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}^*) - W_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}^*, \bar{s}_{\Delta^c}) + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta \setminus \{k\} \\ j \in \Delta^c}} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_{\beta} |\bar{s}_j|_{\beta} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $|s'_k|_{\beta}^2 \leq \beta^{1-2/\gamma}$  on  $\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})$ . Thus from the above we conclude that the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho'_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}; A, \Delta) & \leq \beta^{d(1/2 + 1/\gamma)} \exp \left\{ - \left[ A^* \int_0^{\beta} |s_k(\tau)|^{\gamma} d\tau - \delta \right] \right\} \\
 & \quad \times \rho_{\beta}(s_{\Delta \setminus \{k\}}; A, \Delta \setminus \{k\}) \tag{A.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $k \in \Delta$ .

Next we consider  $\rho''_{\beta}$ . By the equilibrium conditions one may choose  $A = A_q$  such that  $\Delta \subset A_q$  and  $[q+1] \subset A_q$  for each  $q (\geq P)$ , and such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho''_{\beta}(s_{\Delta}; A, \Delta) & = \sum_{q \geq P} \int \nu(d\bar{s}) Z_{A_q, \beta}(\bar{s})^{-1} \int \lambda_{\beta}(ds_{A_q \setminus \Delta}) 1_{\mathfrak{R}_q}(s_{A_q} \bar{s}_{A_q^c}) \\
 & \quad \times \exp \left[ -V_{\beta}(s_{A_q}) - W_{\beta}(s_{A_q}, \bar{s}_{A_q^c}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \Delta \\ j \in \Delta^c}} \Psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_{\beta} |\bar{s}_j|_{\beta} \right] \tag{A.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

As in ref. 32, we have that for  $[q + 1] \subset V_q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}) - W_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & = -V_\beta(s_{[q+1]}) - V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) \\
 & \quad - W_\beta(s_{[q+1]s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \leq -V_\beta(s_{[q+1]}) - V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) \\
 & \quad - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in [q+1] \\ j \in [q+1]^c}} \Psi(|i-j|)(|s_i|_\beta^2 + |\bar{s}_j|_\beta^2) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in [q+1] \\ j \in [q+1]^c}} \Psi(|i-j|)(|s'_i|_\beta^2 + |\bar{s}'_j|_\beta^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that there exist constants  $\bar{A} > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$V_\beta(s_{[q+1]}) \geq \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \left( \bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \delta \right)$$

We now use Lemma A.1 and the above bounds to conclude that for  $s_{\mathcal{A}_q} \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c} \in \mathfrak{R}_q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}) - W_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \leq - \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \left\{ \bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \delta \right\} - 2\epsilon\beta^{-1+2/\gamma} |s_i|_\beta^2 + 2c \} \\
 & \quad - V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \quad + J \sum_{j \in [q+1]} |s'_j|_\beta^2 \tag{A.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

We add and subtract the factor  $\epsilon \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \beta^{-1+2/\gamma} |s_i|_\beta^2$  to (A.10), and then use (4.7) and (A.2) to conclude that for any fixed  $\alpha > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}) - W_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \leq - \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \left( A^* \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \bar{\delta} \right) - C'' \psi_{q+1} V_{q+1} \\
 & \quad - V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \quad + J \sum_{j \in [q+1]} |s'_j|_\beta^2 \tag{A.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the constant  $C''$  is independent of  $\beta$ . On the other hand, one may use Lemma A.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \\ j \in \mathcal{A}^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \\
 & \leq A \sum_{\substack{i \in [q+1] \\ j \in [q+1]^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta + A \sum_{\substack{i \in [q+1] \\ j \in [q+1]^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \\
 & \quad + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1] \\ j \in \mathcal{A}^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \\
 & \leq A \varepsilon \beta^{-(1-2/\gamma)} \sum_{i \in [q+1]} |s_i|_\beta^2 + A J \sum_{i \in [q+1]} |s_i|_\beta^2 \\
 & \quad + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1] \\ j \in \mathcal{A}^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \tag{A.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (A.11) and (A.12) and using (4.7) and the fact that  $|s'_j|_\beta^2 \leq \beta^{1-2/\gamma}$  on  $\Sigma(\beta^{-1/\gamma})$ , one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}) - W_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \\ j \in \mathcal{A}^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta \\
 & \quad - \sum_{i \in [q+1]} \left( \bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \bar{\delta} \right) \\
 & \quad - V_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}) - W_\beta(s'_{[q+1]}, s_{\mathcal{A}_q \setminus [q+1]}, \bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}_q^c}) \\
 & \quad + A \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1] \\ j \in (\mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1])^c}} \psi(|i-j|) |s_i|_\beta |s_j|_\beta - C'' \psi_{q+1} V_{q+1} \tag{A.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $\bar{A}$ ,  $\bar{\delta}$ , and  $C'' > 0$  independent of  $\beta$ . We substitute (A.13) into (A.9), and then use (A.3) and the method employed in ref. 32 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho''_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A}}; A, \mathcal{A}) \\
 & \leq \left( \prod_{i \in [q+1] \cap \mathcal{A}} \beta^{d(1/2+1/\gamma)} \right) \exp \left[ - \sum_{i \in [q+1] \cap \mathcal{A}} \left( \bar{A} \int_0^\beta |s_i(\tau)|^\gamma d\tau - \bar{\delta} \right) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{q \geq P} \exp(-C'' \psi_{q+1} V_{q+1} + D'' V_{q+1}) \rho_\beta(s_{\mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1]}; A, \mathcal{A} \setminus [q+1])
 \end{aligned}$$

As in ref. 32, part (a) of the proposition follows from (A.8), (A.14), and an induction on  $\text{card}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(b) Throughout the proof of part (a) we fix  $\mathcal{A}$  and set  $\mathcal{A}_q = \mathcal{A}$  for all  $q \geq P$  and then take the configuration  $\bar{s}_{\mathcal{A}^c}$  to be zero. Then the proof follows from that of part (a). ■

*Proof of Proposition 4.2.3.* Replacing  $s_i$  by  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , and using the method employed in the proof of Proposition 4.1.3, the proposition follows from the method used in the quantum case. We leave the details to the reader. ■

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Yonsei University Research Grant and Basic Science Research Program, Korean Ministry of Education, 1994–1995.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, Homogeneous random fields and statistical mechanics, *J. Funct. Anal.* **19**:242–272 (1975).
2. H. Akari, On uniqueness of KMS-states of one-dimensional quantum lattice, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **44**:1–7 (1975).
3. C. Borgs and R. Waxler, First order phase transition in unbounded spin systems I, II, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **126**:291–324 (1989); **126**:483–506 (1990).
4. O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I, II* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, 1981).
5. Ph. Choquard, *The Anharmonic Crystals* (Benjamin, New York, 1967).
6. M. Duneau, D. Iagolnitzer, and B. Souillard, Properties of truncated correlation functions and analyticity properties for classical lattices and continuous systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**:191–208 (1973).
7. M. Duneau, D. Iagolnitzer, and B. Souillard, Strong cluster properties for classical systems with finite range interaction, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35**:307–320 (1974).
8. K. H. Fichtner, Point processes and the position distribution of infinite boson systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **47**:959–978 (1987).
9. K. H. Fichtner and W. Freudenberg, Characterization of infinite boson systems, I. On the construction of states of boson systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137**:315–357 (1991).
10. W. Freudenberg, Characterization of infinite boson systems, II. On the existence of the conditional reduced density matrix, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137**:461–472 (1991).
11. J. Frölich, B. Simon, and T. Spencer, Infrared bounds, phase transitions and continuous symmetry breaking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**:79–85 (1976).
12. H. O. Georgii, *Canonical Gibbs Measures* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).
13. J. Ginibre, Some applications of functional integration in statistical mechanics, in *Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory*, C. Dewitt and R. Stora, eds. (1971), pp. 327–427.
14. R. B. Israel, *Convexity in the Theory of Lattice Gases* (Princeton, University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1979).
15. A. Kishimoto, On uniqueness of KMS states of one-dimensional quantum lattice systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **47**:167–170 (1976).



16. Ju. G. Kondratiev, Phase transitions in quantum models of ferroelectrics, *BiBos* **487** (1991).
17. H. Kunz, Analyticity and clustering properties of unbounded spin systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **59**:53–69 (1976).
18. O. E. Lanford and D. Ruelle, Observables at infinity and states with short range correlations in statistical mechanics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **13**:194–215 (1969).
19. J. L. Lebowitz and E. Presutti, Statistical mechanics of systems of unbounded spins, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**:195–218 (1976).
20. J. L. Lebowitz and E. Presutti, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **78**:151 (1980).
21. E. Nylund, K. Lindenberg, and G. Tsironis, Proton dynamics in hydrogen-bonded systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **70**:163–181 (1993).
22. E. Olivieri, P. Picco, and Yu. M. Suhov, On the Gibbs states for one-dimensional lattice boson systems with a long-range interaction, *J. Stat. Phys.* **70**(3/4):985–1028 (1993).
23. Y. M. Park, The cluster expansion for the classical and quantum lattice systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **27**(3):553–576 (1982).
24. Y. M. Park, Quantum statistical mechanics for superstable interactions: Bose–Einstein statistics, *J. Stat. Phys.* **40**:259–302 (1985).
25. Y. M. Park, Quantum statistical mechanics of unbounded continuous spin systems, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **22**:43–74 (1985).
26. Y. M. Park and H. J. Yoo, A characterization of Gibbs states of lattice boson systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **75**(1/2):215–239 (1994).
27. S. Pirogov and Ya. G. Sinai, Phase diagram of classical lattice spin systems, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **25**:1185–1192 (1975); **26**:39–49 (1976).
28. C. Preston, *Random Fields* (Springer-Verlag, 1976).
29. D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics of a one-dimensional lattice gas, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **9**:267–278 (1968).
30. D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics, Rigorous Results* (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
31. D. Ruelle, Superstable interactions in classical statistical mechanics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **18**:127–159 (1970).
32. D. Ruelle, Probability estimates for continuous spin systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**:189–194 (1976).
33. B. Simon, *Functional Integration and Quantum Physics* (Academic Press, New York, 1979).
34. B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).